

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,915	04/30/2001	Frederik Augustijn	P-8894	9908	
27581 7	590 03/04/2004		EXAMINER		
MEDTRONIC, INC.			FAROOQ, MOHAMMAD O		
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MS-LC340 MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55432-5604	2182	10		
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	· ()			
Office Action Summary		Applicatio			\mathcal{A}			
		09/843,91		AUGUSTIJN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			O. Farooq	2182				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	5 			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be lory minimum of thirty (30) o expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 December 20	<u>03</u> .					
•	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 22-63</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>18-21 and 64-67</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from cor to.						
Àpplicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) o the drawing(s) b orrection is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for DAII b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B. See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No eived in this National Stag	ge			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S ier No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:)			

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-17 and 22-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5,197,145 in view of DuLac et al. U.S. Pat. No. 4,843,544.
- 2. As to claim 22, Kitamura et al. teach device comprising:

a controller (control storage; item 23, fig. 4);

at least one sensor (buffer storage controller; item 24, fig. 4) operably connected to the controller for connecting data, wherein the data comprises data from a first data stream and at least one additional data stream (see fig. 3);

a memory transfer unit (memory access controller; item 30, fig. 4) for transferring the data to a memory;

a first intermediate register (buffer storage I; item 13, fig. 3) for collecting first data stream data;

at least one additional intermediate register (buffer storage II; item 14, fig. 3) for collecting additional data stream data; and

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

at least one output register (move-out buffer I; item 15, fig. 3) for receiving first intermediate register contents.

Kitamura et al. do not teach a processor. DuLac et al. teach a processor (item 12, fig. 1A). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. because that would better control of data transfers with multiple buffers (col. 2, lines 51-53).

- 3. As to claims 23, 25, 26 and 31, Kitamura et al. do not teach additional output register for receiving first intermediate register contents, output register receiving additional intermediate register contents, a memory connected to the processor and a converter connected to the processor for converting at least one signal to the data. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Kitamura et al. to incorporate additional output register for receiving first intermediate register contents, output register receiving additional intermediate register contents, a memory connected to the processor and a converter connected to the processor for converting at least one signal to the data because that would reduce the time necessary for carrying out the data transfer operation (col. 2, lines 41-43).
- 4. As to claim 24, Kitamura et al. teach an additional output register for receiving additional intermediate register contents (see fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915 Page 4

Art Unit: 2182

- 5. As to claims 27 and 28, Kitamura et al. teach first and additional intermediate register contents include identification code that uniquely identify first and additional intermediate register contents respectively (col. 4, lines 29-57).
- 6. As to claims 29 and 30, Kitamura et al. teach first and additional intermediated registers are adapted to store first and additional data stream data (col. 3, lines 28-67, col. 4, lines 1-15).
- As to claims 4 and 6, Kitamura et al. do not teach storing remaining first and additional intermediate registers contents in first and additional intermediate registers respectively if the output registers associated with them are full. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Kitamura et al. to incorporate storing remaining first and additional intermediate registers contents in first and additional intermediate registers respectively if the output registers associated with them are full because fast fetching and storing of data in a system (col. 1, lines 9-17).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

- 8. As to claims 9-17, Kitamura et al. do not teach transferring contents to memory, compressed data, collecting first and additional data stream until the registers are full, storing remaining register contents in the registers if the first output register is full and determining a state of the first output register. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teachings of Kitamura et al. to incorporate transferring contents to memory, compressed data, collecting first and additional data stream until the registers are full, storing remaining register contents in the registers if the first output register is full and determining a state of the first output register because that would provide concurrent transfer of data in the system (col. 2, lines 44-65).
- 9. Method claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 have similar limitations as claims 22-31. Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. in combination teach apparatus as set forth in claims 22-31. Therefore, Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. also in combination teach method as set forth in claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8.
- 10. Apparatus claims 32-46 have similar limitations as method claims 1-17.
 Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. in combination teach method as set forth in claims 117. Therefore, Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. in combination also teach apparatus as set forth in claims 32-46.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

11. Claims 47-63 have similar limitations as method claims 1-17. Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. in combination teach method as set forth in claims 1-17. Therefore, Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. in combination also teach computer program as set forth in claims 47-63.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 18-21 and 64-67 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments filed December 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 14. The examiner would like to point out the key concept of the invention which according to the language of independent claims is to have data stream (i.e. data) go through first and then additional registers; and then having an output mechanism for data to be outputted from first intermediate register. This is the key concept through which the applicants achieve transfer and storing of data with limited storage and memory. This key concept is taught by Kitamura et al. reference as stated in the rejection of independent claim 22 in the office action. Since the independent claims are very broad and were not further amended/elaborated on the key concept of the invention, the examiner retains the rejection of the claims by the Kitamura et al. and DuLac et al. references.
- 15. Further, the examiner did not rely on hindsight or used the present claims as roadmap to reject claims 23, 25, 26 and 31; rather it was col. 2, lines 41-43 of Kitamura et al. reference that examiner used for the motivational/rational statement to reject these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,915

Art Unit: 2182

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad O. Farooq whose telephone number is (703) 305-3888. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

REHANA PERVEEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mohammad O. Farooq March 1, 2004